

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/857,343

Applicant(s)

Angele et al.

Examiner

David M. Naff

Art Unit

1651

All Participants:(1) David M. Naff.(2) Marvin Motsenbocker.**Status of Application:** _____(3) Patricia C. Granados.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 January 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

See examiner's amendment

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See examiner's amendment. Applicants' representatives authorized suggested amendments that put the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)